

Re: William Woolley

From: Richard Kishur (rkishur06@gmail.com)

To: smallwoodlaw@swbell.net

Date: Sunday, April 26, 2020, 12:20 PM CDT

Please find report attached. Thank you.

Kaci Satepauhoodle
Office Administrator
Kishur & Associates
4000 N. Classen Blvd, Suite 105-N
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
(405)605-2176 | Fax (405)605-2153
www.facebook.com/DrKishur

Confidentiality Warning: This message and any attachments are only for the use of the intended recipient(s), are confidential, and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, retransmission, conversion to hard copy, copying, circulation or other use of all or any portion of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, and delete this message and any attachments from your system.

On Feb 26, 2020, at 8:13 AM, Allen Smallwood <smallwoodlaw@swbell.net> wrote:

Dr. Kishur:

--

Allen M. Smallwood
Attorney at Law
1310 South Denver Avenue
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119-3041
(918) 582-1993 - (918) 582-1991 Fax

**THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS EMAIL MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY
PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE**

Kishur & Associates

4000 N. Classen, Suite 105-N, Oklahoma City, OK 73118

Phone (405) 605-2176 Fax (405) 605-2153

Assessment of Sexual Interest Report

Mr. William (Bill) Woolley came to the Offices of Kishur & Associates to participate in an assessment of his current sexual interests. He reported that he had completed a psychological evaluation with Curtis T. Grundy, Ph.D., a Psychologist, who practices in Ketchum Oklahoma, in May 2019. Dr. Grundy provided an excellent summary of Mr. Woolley's evaluation results to Mr. Woolley's attorney in June 2019, a copy of which I reviewed prior to conducting my assessment protocol.

The purpose of the current sexual interest specific assessment was to provide data to augment Dr. Grundy's evaluation as a psychological evaluation does not routinely include sexual interest specific testing.

Mr. Woolley stated that he is charged with two counts of Sexual Abuse of a Child Under the age of 12 years case number (CF-2018-00167). He said this case was filed in Wagoner County Oklahoma in April 2018. He said he is also charged in Wagoner County with one count of Murder in the First-Degree and Child Abuse and one count of Sexual Abuse of a Child Under 12 years of age, case number CF-2018-553. This case was filed in December 2018. Mr. Woolley denied that he has ever engaged in any inappropriate sexual contact or activity with any underage person at any time.

Forensic examination generally includes multiple measures as well as multiple methods of assessment in order to collect the most valid and reliable data. In this case, assessment was conducted utilizing two of the most commonly used sexual interest instruments and methods.

Able Assessment for Sexual Interest, Third Edition (AASI-3): The less intrusive AASI-3 was administered to Mr. Woolley on March 3, 2020. This method, while valid, measures visual attentiveness (along with other variables) to a particular target object and then processes that measurement via a proprietary algorithm in order to quantify a measurement of sexual interest in that target. The AASI-3 is composed of two parts; the subjective Able Questionnaire of sexual behavior history, and the objective Visual Sexual Interest Assessment.

Mr. Woolley's AASI-3 Questionnaire results were first assessed for validity. His result on the Social Desirability Scale was 80% and in the Highly Problematic Range (Non-Problematic 0% to 45%, Problematic 46% to 64%, Highly Problematic 65% to 100%). This result indicates that Mr. Woolley was not fully willing to acknowledge common human faults and difficulties such as impatience or feelings of anger. He appeared to be less than fully open and disclosing on in his responses to this assessment instrument's items. This result may reflect a highly moral belief and behavior standard, or it could reflect an attempt to withhold information regarding past sexual experiences. While his results are valid, he may have under-reported the extent of participation in the atypical sexual behaviors identified by the questionnaire.

A second validity measure; the Cognitive Distortion Scale utilizes items that describe potential justifications frequently used by persons who are known to have been sexually involved with children. A higher score suggests a greater use of such cognitive distortions, and therefore a greater likelihood of having participated in inappropriate sexual activities with children. Mr. Woolley scored 8% on this scale which was in the Non-Problematic Range (Non-Problematic 0% to 45%, Problematic 46% to 64%, Highly Problematic 65% to 100%). This result suggests that it is unlikely that Mr. Woolley shares cognitive distortions or "thinking errors" used by known sexual offenders of children.

The Abel Questionnaire gathers self-report information regarding a subject's history of participation in any of 21 atypical sexual behaviors assessed by the instrument. On Mr. Woolley's self-report of sexual behavior on the Abel Questionnaire, he acknowledged that he has engaged in the following atypical sexual behaviors:

Exhibitionism: beginning and ending at age 19. This behavior involved peer age females who were 18 years of age or older. He reported that this behavior last occurred 43 years ago. He reported that of all his current sexual fantasies, none involve thoughts about exposing his naked penis to an adult woman.

Sexual Affairs: beginning at age 19 and ending at age 21. He said this behavior last occurred 43 years ago. He reported that the behavior occurred by the mutual consent of each party. He reported that this behavior involved adult females who were 18 years of age or older. He reported that of all his current fantasies, none involve engaging in casual sexual activity with adult females.

Sex with Strangers: beginning at age 18 and ending at age 22. He said this behavior last occurred 40 years ago. He reported that the behavior occurred by the mutual consent of each party.

He reported that this behavior involved adult females who were 18 years of age or older. He reported that of all his current fantasies, a few involve sexual fantasies of engaging in casual sexual activities with adult females.

The Visual Sexual Interest Assessment is an objective measure of sexual interest taken outside the awareness of the subject. Mr. Woolley was presented with non-nude, non-pornographic color slides of individuals representing categories of potential sexual interest. Sexual interest in preschool (5 years of age and younger), grade school (6 to 13 years of age), adolescent (14 to 17 years of age), and adult (18 years of age and older) males and females was measured.

He showed significant sexual interest in adolescent and adult females. This response pattern is considered to be normal for an adult heterosexual male. There was no sexual interest in pre-school age, or grammar school age females. There was no interest in males of any age. Mr. Woolley's sexual interest pattern was interpreted as non-deviant. He appears to be exclusively sexually interested in adult appearing females.

Monarch Behavioral Technology System Penile Plethysmograph (PPG):

Penile plethysmographic assessment (PPG) was conducted to assess the level of concurrent validity with the AASI-3. The PPG is designed to measure the subjects' sexual responsiveness to a variety of stimulus scenarios involving males and females of various ages. Simultaneously with the penile response, four other measures are utilized to detect any attempts to dissemble the data provided by the measurement of penile response. If a subject attempts to control the PPG data by artificially suppressing or increasing penile responses, these measures will detect such attempts. The four control variables include respiration, galvanic skin response, refusing to listen to the audio scenarios by removing the headset, refusing to view the visual segments by closing ones' eyes, and removing or not placing the gauge correctly on the penis.

Changes in penile tumescence in response to the stimulus materials are recorded by means of a disinfected, flexible, rubber gauge, that the subject places on his penis in complete privacy. There is no pain or discomfort associated with this assessment. The gauge is calibrated by the technician prior to beginning the assessment protocol.

Following calibration and placement the subject views 22 segments of audio and visual material. Each segment begins with a picture of the age and gender of the individual targeted in the segment and then includes an audio segment 85 seconds long. The subjects responses to all five variables are measured and recorded by the computer.

Prior to beginning the assessment the technician determined that Mr. Woolley had never previously completed a Monarch PPG evaluation. At pre-test, Mr. Woolley endorsed that he is exclusively heterosexual. Mr. Woolley reported that he has never engaged in any type of inappropriate sexual contact with any underage person.

The technician described Mr. Woolley as cooperative and friendly during the testing. On the neurological screener he endorsed that he has had no trouble achieving and maintaining an erection. He reported that his hearing and his vision as good. His ability to see and hear the stimulus materials was verified prior to beginning the assessment.

At post-test Mr. Woolley denied that he attempted to control or suppress his penile responses in any way during the test. He indicated that he had last experienced sexual arousal, including orgasm, within the past 24 hours. Mr. Woolley estimated that he experiences sexual orgasm either by masturbation or sexual activity with a partner about six times per month. He reported that he did not believe he had any arousal response to any of the stimulus materials.

Mr. Woolley's attention and processing of the segments was evaluated during testing. He achieved approximately 85% attention throughout the test which was well above the minimum valid level. He was able to accurately differentiate segments with a "kind and friendly" seductive scenario from the "aggressive and angry" predatory scenarios.

The Response Interference measures indicate that Mr. Woolley did not attempt to manipulate or decrease his arousal response to the stimulus segments.

MONARCH 21 PPG establishes .675 cm penile tumescence as the level of significance. When one or more scores achieve or exceed this level, all scores are transformed into standard scores and a comparative analysis is completed. In Mr. Woolley's case, there was not sufficient responsiveness, in any category, beyond the .675cm level and thus the empirical analysis was not conducted. Mr. Woolley was considered to be a non-responder to the stimulus segments.

Summary and Conclusions: The results of the evaluation protocol were valid for both assessment instruments. It is possible that Mr. Woolley underreported past sexual activity, as indicated by his problematic level of responses to the validity questions on the AASI-3 Abel Questionnaire. However, his response pattern did show an approximate 40 year history of engaging in sexual activity with peer age females. He is married and

Woolley

Page 5

living in a stable, supportive marriage with his wife. He acknowledged that as a young man he was somewhat sexually promiscuous with peer age females.


The Visual Sexual Interest Assessment response pattern appears to verify that he is exclusively heterosexual. There were no indications of pedophilic, hebephilic interests in either males or females.

His responses on the PPG assessment indicated that he was cooperative, focused, and followed the directions provided by the technician. There was no indication of attempting to dissimulate or manipulate his responses. His results indicated that he did not have a significant reaction to any of the 22 test segments. He was classified as a non-responder and therefore no interpretation can be made regarding his specific sexual interests.

Taken together, the results of these two assessments suggests that the data provided is valid and show a clear interest in adult females. There is no data to indicate that he is a threat to any child or to the community in general.

Dr. Grundy completed the Static-99R, an actuarial risk assessment instrument, during the course of his evaluation of Mr. Woolley. Since there was no reported indication of the normative data used by Dr. Grundy to form his conclusions, I verified the results reported by utilizing the upgraded 2016 normative data and scoring rules. My conclusion was that Dr. Grundy's report of Mr. Woolley's scores was correct in all categories utilizing the 2016 update. The static-99R has a range of -3 to 6+. Mr. Woolley's score on this assessment was -2 which placed him in the very low risk category as reported by Dr. Grundy.

I also reviewed the summary paragraph identifying characteristics of sadistic and psychopathic murderers. I fully agree with the well known and identifiable psychological features that Dr. Grundy outlined on the bottom of page 11 and the top of page 12 of his report. Areas such as sexual sadism, nonsexual violence, stranger victims a history of aggressive and violent behavior as well as sociopathic and psychopathic thought processes are assessed by some of the visual content contained in the AASI-3 as well as the scenarios in the Monarch 21 PPG. I found no indication of any of those type of psychological constructs during my assessment or interaction with Mr. Woolley.



Richard Kishar, Ph.D.

Licensed Professional Counselor

Diplomate in Forensic Counseling

Board certified counselor