


Affidavit of Suit of Denied Trial by Jury and Public-Private Partnership using Social Security Funds for Taking Children from Legal Guardians

Claimant: Lisa Woolley



Respondent: Elizabeth Brown
220 W. Division St
Stilwell, OK 74960

Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

Affiant, Lisa Woolley, one of the People (as seen in the Oklahoma Constitution, Article 2, Section 1), republican in form, *Sui Juris*, do serve you, Elizabeth Brown, in this court of record, by necessity, this affidavit to make the following claims:

Affiant demands that you take notice that affiant understands that the Oklahoma Department of Human Services is an executive program that uses federal funds to take children against the original legislative intent of the Social Security Act of 1935, and that this program is a public-private partnership that compensates officials, like judges in courts without judicial power, for taking children against the guaranteed rights of parents and legal guardians.

Affiant claims that affiant was legal guardian of Clayton Woolley on March 31, 2018. Affiant has a secured liberty interest in caring for a child, and cannot be deprived that right without a trial by jury. Clayton was taken from affiant without a trial by jury. (highlight for emphasis) (see evidence below):

Oklahoma Constitution, Article 2, Section 1

“All political power is inherent in the people; and government is instituted for their protection, security, and benefit, and to promote their general welfare; and they have the right to alter or reform the same whenever the public good may require it: Provided, such change be not repugnant to the Constitution of the United States.”

Oklahoma Constitution, Article 2, Section 19

“The right of trial by jury shall be and remain inviolate...”

Troxel et vir v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57 (2000)

“The liberty interest at issue in this case – the interest of parents in the care, custody, and control of their children – is perhaps the oldest of the fundamental liberty interests recognized by this Court.”

Maxim of Law: “Where the law prescribes a form, the nonobservance of it is fatal to the proceeding, and the whole becomes a nullity.” Best, *Ev.* Introd. s. 59.

Affiant demands you show the constitutional powers or authorities granted to you to deny affiant the right to trial by jury. Failure to show affiant this evidence means you did trespass affiant’s right to trial by jury.

Affiant claims that the Constitution is an express public trust, where public officials, like judges, are trustees and cannot use powers against the beneficiaries of government, the People. It is unlawful for a judge to deprive People of liberty without a trial by jury, and such deprivation is a breach of trust and war against the Constitution. (highlight for emphasis)

John Locke, “The Second Treatise of Government”, ch15 §171:

“...Political power is that power which every man, having in the state of nature, has given up into the hands of the society, and therein to the governors, whom the society hath set over itself, with this express or tacit trust, that it shall be employed for their good, and the preservation of their property...So that the end and measure of this power, when in every man’s hands in the state of nature, being the preservation of all of his society, that is, all mankind in general, it can have no other end or measure, when in the hands of the magistrate, but to preserve the members of that society in their lives, liberties, and possessions...” (highlight for emphasis)

Oklahoma Constitution, Article 2, Section 11 – Attention to Duties

“Every person elected or appointed to any office or employment of trust or profit under the laws of the State, or under any ordinance of any municipality thereof, shall give personal attention to the duties of the office to which he is elected or appointed. Drunkenness and the excessive use of intoxicating liquors while in office shall constitute sufficient cause for impeachment or removal therefrom.”

Oklahoma Constitution, Article 15, Section 1 – Oath of Office

“All public officers, before entering upon the duties of their offices, shall take and subscribe to the following oath or affirmation: “I,, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support, obey, and defend the Constitution of the United States, and the Constitution of the State of Oklahoma, and that I will not, knowingly, receive, directly or indirectly, any

fw

money or other valuable thing, for the performance or nonperformance of any act or duty pertaining to my office, other than the compensation allowed by law; I further swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully discharge my duties as to the best of my ability." The Legislature may prescribe further oaths or affirmations.

Maxim of Law: "Punishment is due if the words of an oath be false." *Black's*, 840.

Affiant demands you show the constitutional power or authority you were granted to violate your oath. Failure to show affiant this granted powers means that you did violate your oath.

Affiant claims that the Constitution prohibits the use of power outside what is expressly delegated to the government. This means that judges cannot participate in public-private partnerships where they act like judges in administrative proceedings against the People's guaranteed right of due process of law. (see evidence below):

Oklahoma Constitution, Article 4, Section 1 – Distribution of Powers

"The powers of the government of the State of Oklahoma shall be divided into three separate departments: The Legislative, Executive, and Judicial; and except as provided in this Constitution, the Legislative, Executive, and Judicial departments of government shall be separate and distinct, and neither shall exercise the powers properly belonging to either of the others."

Oklahoma Constitution, Article 2, Section 7 – Due Process of Law

"No person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law."

Maxim of Law: "He does contrary to the law who does what the law prohibits; he acts in fraud of the law who, the letter of the law being inviolate, uses the law contrary to its intention." *Dig.* 1, 3, 29.

Affiant demands you show the constitutional authorities or powers you were granted to deprive affiant's due process of law. Failure to show affiant this evidence means you denied affiant the right to due process of law.

Affiant claims that the original legislative intent of the Social Security Act of 1935 prohibited government taking a child from the custody of the parent or legal guardian. (highlight for emphasis) (see evidence below):

Social Security Act, Pub Law 74-271 (49 Stat. 620), Title XI, §1101(6)(d)

"Nothing in this Act shall be construed as authorizing any Federal official, agent, or representative, in carrying out any of the provisions of this Act, to take charge of any child over the objection of either of the parents of such child, or of the person standing in loco parentis to such child."

Affiant claims that the original intent of the Oklahoma Legislature prohibited the limitation of the parent or guardian of a child, and that only by the consent of the parent or guardian, could services be provided to children. (see evidence below):

10 OK Stat §10-175.9 – Homes – Right to enter

"No person shall by virtue of this Act have any right to enter any home over the objection of the parent or guardian of a child and nothing in this Act shall be construed as limiting the power of the parent or guardian of a child. The Commission, however, with the consent of the parents, parent or legal guardian may provide necessary medical care and other services for a child as indicated in Sections 1 and 5 of this Act."

Affiant claims that criminal charges cannot be levied against the People for the purpose of taking children from the custody of the parent. If the State has a legitimate interest in prosecuting crimes, then the parent or guardian is guaranteed due process, and only upon criminal conviction does the parent or guardian lose their liberty interest in the child. The parent or guardian retains their liberty interest in a child even if criminal charges are filed and later dismissed. (see evidence below):

Oklahoma Constitution, Article 2, Section 33 – Enumeration of Rights

"The enumeration in this Constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to deny, impair, or disparage others retained by the people."

Maxim of Law: "When the foundation fails, all goes to the ground; as, where the cause of action fails, the action itself must of necessity fail." *Wing. Max.*, 113, 114, max. 40; *Broom, Max.* 180; *Noy, Max.* 5, max.12.

Affiant claims that the Social Security Act of 1935 is a revenue generating program that the Oklahoma Department of Human Services uses in public-private partnerships to maximize matching federal funds. These funds are used to pay participants, including judges who administer trial-like proceedings called courts, and can vary between \$4,000 and \$33,000 per child. This means that DHS has a financial incentive to take children against the liberty interests of parents and guardians, which is a form of state-sponsored child trafficking. (highlight for emphasis) (see evidence below):

Social Security Act, Pub Law 74-271 (49 Stat. 620) – Original Legislative Intent

"To provide for the general welfare by establishing a system of Federal old-age benefits, and by enabling the several States to make more adequate provision for aged person, blind, persons, dependent and crippled children, maternal and

child welfare, public health, and the administration of their unemployment compensation laws; to establish a Social Security Board; to raise revenue; and for other purposes.” (highlight for emphasis)

10 OK Stat §10-175.1(3)(4) – Original Legislative intent and purpose

“3. Cooperate with all public and private agencies and institutions interested in the care of children in making funds and resources of these public and private agencies and institutions available for the care of such children; and

4. Cooperate with the appropriate agency of the federal government in making effective the provisions of the Federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C., Section 301 et seq., relating to children with special health care needs and such other laws, provisions, or programs that the Congress has adopted or may adopt for the remedial care of such children, including, but not limited to, provisions for prevention, for locating such children and for providing medical, corrective, and other services and care, and facilities for diagnosis, hospitalization, and aftercare for such children.” (highlight for emphasis)

10 OK Stat §10-454(f) – Powers and duties of Commission and Director

“(f). The Commission shall have authority to provide for the expenditure of all funds for the administration and operation of the institutions as specified in this act.” (highlight for emphasis)

10 OK Stat §10-1423 – Program for care and treatment of children – federal matching funds

“The Oklahoma Public Welfare Commission and the Department of Human Services are authorized and directed to develop such programs for the care and treatment of children to meet the requirements of federal laws and rules and regulations of the Secretary. The Commission shall maintain such standards of money payments in the categories of Old Age Assistance, Aid to the Blind, Aid to the Permanently and Totally Disabled, and Aid to Families with Dependent Children, as will earn the maximum federal funds available to the state, within the availability of state matching funds, and shall budget such other state funds as may be necessary to earn the maximum of federal matching funds in the Child Welfare Program, Children with Special Health Care Needs Program and other federal-state programs. Any institution under the jurisdiction of the Commission or the Department may be used by the Commission or the Department for any program administered by the Commission or the Department.” (highlight for emphasis)

42 U.S. Code §674(3)(B) – Payment to States – Amounts

“(B) 75 percent of so much of such expenditures (including travel and per diem expenses) as are for the short-term training of current or prospective foster or adoptive parents or relative guardians, the members of the staff of State-licensed or State-approved child care institutions providing care, or State-licensed or State-approved child welfare agencies providing services, to children receiving assistance under this part, and members of the staff of abuse and neglect courts, agency attorneys, attorneys representing children or parents, guardians ad litem, or other court-appointed special advocates representing children in proceedings of such courts, in ways that increase the ability of such current or prospective parents, guardians, staff members, institutions, attorneys, and advocates to provide support and assistance to foster and adopted children and children living with relative guardians, whether incurred directly by the State or by contract,” (highlight for emphasis)

OK Stat §10-175.8(a) – Fund – State Treasurer

“(a) The State Treasurer shall receive all funds, and act as custodian thereof, granted to the State by the Federal Government for the purposes specified in this Act, and shall keep such funds in a special account to be known by the title "Federal Crippled Children's Funds," and these funds shall be disbursed upon claims approved by the Director and filed with the Budget Director for payment.”

OK Stat §601.14(B) – Children’s Endowment Fund of Oklahoma

“Funds deposited into the Children's Endowment Fund of Oklahoma and any earnings therefrom, including any interest, dividends or realized capital gains from investment of monies in the fund, shall be administered by the Oklahoma Commission on Children and Youth for the purpose of awarding grants in order to stimulate a broad range of innovative programs, activities or research or evaluation that will improve the well-being and reduce the adverse childhood experiences of Oklahoma's children. The funds shall not be used to expand existing services or to support ongoing core services. The Commission may also direct the State Treasurer to reinvest any earnings into the corpus of the fund.”

(highlight for emphasis)

Article on Federal Foster Care Financing, July 31, 2005 [<https://aspe.hhs.gov/reports/federal-foster-care-financing-how-why-current-funding-structure-fails-meet-needs-child-welfare-field-0>]

“The average annual amount of federal foster care funds received by States ranges from \$4,155 to \$33,091 per eligible child...”

Maxim of Law: “Language is the exponent of the intention. The language of a statute or instrument is the best guide to the intention.” Broom, *Max.* 622.

Affiant claims that you did not give affiant full disclosure that the Oklahoma Department of Human Services administers a public-private partnership designed to maximize matching federal funds by taking children from their parents or legal guardians. Judges cannot hold public office as a judge and administer executive programs in public-private partnerships. (see evidence below):

Oklahoma Constitution, Article 2, Section 12 – Ineligibility to Office

“No member of Congress from this State, or person holding any office of trust or profit under the laws of any other State, or of the United States, shall hold any office of trust or profit under the laws of this State; provided, neither the

JW

provisions of this section nor any other provision of this Constitution or state law shall be construed to prohibit the following officeholders from holding at the same time any other office of trust or profit: 1. Officers and enlisted members of the National Guard; 2. Officers and enlisted members of the National Guard Reserve; 3. Officers of the Officers Reserve Corps of the United States; 4. Enlisted members of the Organized Reserves of the United States; and 5. Officers and enlisted members of the Oklahoma State Guard and any other active militia or military force organized under state law. The Legislature shall have the power to enact laws to further implement the provisions of this section.”

Maxim of Law: “Good faith does not allow us to demand twice the payment of the same thing.” *Dig. 50, 17, 57; Perline. V. Dunn, 4 Johns Ch. (NY) 143.*

Affiant demands that you give full disclosure on whether you are participating in a public-private partnership that pays you when children are taken from the custody of parents or legal guardians.

Affiant demands that you take final notice that it is affiant’s demand, wish, and contract that you, in the interest of justice and the public good, do immediately order the full custody of affiant’s grandson, Clayton Woolley (JD-2018-4) to affiant, that you reimburse affiant for our fees and style it as “Justice for Clayton” from DHS funds, that you publicly disclose your participation in any public-private partnerships, and that you never again trespass the People’s rights.

Affiant demands that you take notice that you may trample affiant’s rights for a fee of \$1,000,000 per infraction, agreed immediately upon receipt of this affidavit, upon express consent of affiant.

Affiant demands that you take notice that if you believe affiant is wrong, or that you have the constitutional grant to deprive affiant the right of trial by jury, then submit, in writing, an affidavit sworn under penalty of perjury and postmarked to affiant within seven (7) days receipt of this affidavit, any evidence or constitutional power, answering claim-by-claim, granted to you to showing affiant is wrong, or, by tacit acquiescence, you agree that all claims herein are true, and that this affidavit will stand as fact and law and evidence, and that you do, with full knowledge, malice, and intent, trespass affiant’s right to trial by jury and do participate in an executive program against the distribution of powers in the Constitution, which violates your oath of office, is a breach of trust, and is at war against the Oklahoma Constitution.

Affiant demands that you take notice that affiant reserves the right to arbitrator of choice, and that no court may rehear this matter.

Affiant demands that you take notice that any official or agent who suppresses this affidavit agrees to pay affiant \$10,000.

Affiant demands that you take notice that this affidavit is sent to you in the love of Jesus Christ, by necessity, so that the truth is proclaimed, justice be done, and the law is upheld for the public good.

Verification

I hereby declare, certify and state, pursuant to the penalties of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, and by the provisions of 28 USC 1746 that all of the above and foregoing representations are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. Executed in Broken Arrow, Oklahoma on this 25 day of Sept. 2023 in the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand and Twenty Three.

Lisa Woolley
Autograph of Affiant:

Notary as JURAT CERTIFICATE

Oklahoma State }
Wagoner County }

On this 25 day of September, 2023 before me, Lori Hendricks, a Notary Public, personally appeared Lisa Woolley, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the woman whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that she executed the same in her authorized capacity, and that by her autograph(s) on the instrument the woman executed, the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the lawful laws of Oklahoma State and that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

LW

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature of Notary/Jurat _____

Lois Hendricks



Distribution:

- Executive Director of Oklahoma Department of Human Services
- Director of Child Welfare Services
- District Director of DHS
- District Attorney, Wagoner County Oklahoma
- Assistant District Attorney, Wagoner County Oklahoma
- Chief District Judge
- Chief Justice, Oklahoma Supreme Court
- Chief Judge, Federal District Court
- Chief Judge, 10th Circuit Court of Appeals
- Associate Justice, United States Supreme Court
- Governor, Oklahoma
- Governor Legal Counsel
- Sheriff, Wagoner County Oklahoma
- Attorney General, Oklahoma
- OK Senator Dana Prieto
- OK Senator Shane Jett
- OK Senator John Haste
- OK Rep JJ Humphrey
- OK Rep John Talley
- OK Rep Kevin McDugle
- President NAACP

fw